

Dimensionally Reduced Gravitational Chern-Simons Term and its Kink

G. Guralnik^{1,*}, A. Iorio^{1,2}, R. Jackiw¹, S.-Y. Pi³

¹ *Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge MA 02139 USA*

² *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Rome, Italy*

³ *Physics Department, Boston University, Boston MA 02215 USA*

Abstract

When the gravitational Chern-Simons term is reduced from 3 to 2 dimensions, the lower dimensional theory supports a symmetry breaking solution and an associated kink. Kinks in general relativity bear a close relation to flat space kinks, governed by identical potentials.

MIT-CTP-3373, BUHEP 03-11, BROWNHET-1357

hep-th/0305117

* On leave of absence from Physics Department, Brown University, Providence RI 02912, USA.

1 Introduction

Reducing the Hilbert-Einstein gravity action from 3- to 2-dimensional space-time produces an interesting 2-dimensional (lineal) gravity theory [1], which has engendered much further research [2]. But in 3 dimensions there exists another invariant action: the gravitational Chern-Simons term [3], whose reduction to 2 dimensions is the subject of this paper. The present work is a continuation of earlier investigations, wherein Lie-group-based Chern-Simons terms are reduced in various ways [4].

In Section 2, we recall the construction of the gravitational Chern-Simons term. In Section 3, a Kaluza-Klein *Ansatz* is posited for the 3-metric tensor, and the 3-dimensional Chern-Simons action is reduced to 2 dimensions. There it retains some topological features and is reminiscent of an axion/Chern-Pontryagin structure. Some properties of the emergent lineal gravity theory are explored in Section 4. In particular, attention is called to a symmetry breaking solution, which gives rise to anti-de Sitter space. Also, there is a kink solution that deforms the anti-de Sitter space as it interpolates between the symmetry breaking configurations: asymptotically reproducing the anti-de Sitter space, but possessing positive curvature in finite regions. Concluding remarks comprise Section 5. Two Appendices are devoted to detailed calculations. In Appendix A we give the derivation of our general relativistic kink. In Appendix B a relation is demonstrated between flat space and general relativistic kinks, governed by the same potential.

2 Gravitational Chern-Simons Term

The Chern-Simons term, based on a Lie algebra, with matrix-valued gauge connections A_μ , takes the form

$$\text{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{1}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda \right) . \quad (2.1)$$

Its variation with respect to A_μ leads to the dual $*F^\mu$ of the curvature $F_{\mu\nu}$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu] , \quad (2.2)$$

$$*F^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} F_{\nu\lambda} , \quad (2.3)$$

$$\delta \text{CS}(A) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \text{tr} (*F^\mu \delta A_\mu) . \quad (2.4)$$

While (2.1) is invariant against infinitesimal gauge transformations, finite gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \rightarrow U^{-1} A_\mu U + U^{-1} \partial_\mu U , \quad (2.5)$$

produce the response

$$\text{CS}(A) \rightarrow \text{CS}(A) - \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{tr} \left(U^{-1} \partial_\mu U U^{-1} \partial_\nu U U^{-1} \partial_\lambda U \right) , \quad (2.6)$$

apart from a surface integral, which we drop. The last term in (2.6) is the “winding number” $W(U)$ of the gauge transformation function U . Even though the variation of $W(U)$ is a surface term

$$\delta W(U) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu \text{tr} \left(U^{-1} \partial_\nu U U^{-1} \partial_\lambda U U^{-1} \delta U \right) , \quad (2.7)$$

$W(U)$ can be non vanishing for homotopically non trivial U . This may require that the strength of the Chern-Simons term be quantized [3].

Analogous properties are enjoyed by the gravitational Chern-Simons term. This is made explicit when we use an adapted notation, defined as follows.

Consider the Christoffel connection, constructed from the metric tensor¹ $G_{\mu\nu}$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} G^{\lambda\sigma} (\partial_\mu G_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu G_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma G_{\mu\nu}) . \quad (2.8)$$

The coordinate transformation law for $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\tau}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu} \Gamma_{\sigma\tau}^\rho(x) + \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial^2 x^\rho}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu \partial \tilde{x}^\nu} , \quad (2.9)$$

may be succinctly presented in the following manner. Consider $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ to be a matrix valued connection with space-time index μ , and matrix indices (λ, ν)

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = (A_\mu)^\lambda{}_\nu . \quad (2.10)$$

¹In our notation, capital letters denote quantities in the larger space, here 3-dimensional, while lower case is used for corresponding quantities in the reduced space, here 2-dimensional. Also, letters from the middle of the Greek alphabet ($\lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots$) refer to space-time components in the larger space, while beginning letters ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$) denote components in the reduced, 2-dimensional space. Finally, tangent space (flat space) components, to be introduced below, are described by Latin letters, capitalized in 3-space, lower-case in 2-space.

Then (2.9) is recognized as a coordinate transformation on the index μ , and a gauge transformation (2.5) with gauge function

$$(U)^\tau{}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\tau}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}, \quad (U^{-1})^\lambda{}_\rho = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\rho}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(A_\mu(x))^\lambda{}_\nu \rightarrow (\tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}))^\lambda{}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu} \left(U^{-1} A_\sigma(x) U + U^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\sigma} U \right)^\lambda{}_\nu. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, the formula for the Riemann curvature tensor

$$R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma}}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\tau} \Gamma^\tau_{\mu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\tau} \Gamma^\tau_{\nu\sigma}, \quad (2.13)$$

coincides with the gauge theoretic curvature of A_μ

$$R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = (F_{\nu\mu})^\rho{}_\sigma = (\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu + [A_\nu, A_\mu])^\rho{}_\sigma. \quad (2.14)$$

The *Vielbein*/spin connection formulation of gravity is also usefully presented with the above variables. Denoting the *Vielbein* by $E^A{}_\mu$ and its inverse by² $E^\mu{}_A = G^{\mu\nu} \eta_{AB} E^B{}_\nu$, we have the defining property

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} E^A{}_\mu E^B{}_\nu. \quad (2.15)$$

The metricity condition defines the spin connection $(\Omega_\mu)^A{}_B$

$$D_\mu E^A{}_\nu = \partial_\mu E^A{}_\nu - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} E^A{}_\lambda = -(\Omega_\mu)^A{}_B E^B{}_\nu. \quad (2.16)$$

But with (2.10) this may be rewritten as

$$(A_\mu)^\lambda{}_\nu = E^\lambda{}_A (\Omega_\mu)^A{}_B E^B{}_\nu + E^\lambda{}_A \partial_\mu E^A{}_\nu, \quad (2.17)$$

showing that the Christoffel connection A_μ [matrix indices (λ, ν)] is the gauge transform of the spin connection Ω_μ [matrix indices (A, B)] with the gauge function $U^\mu{}_\nu = E^B{}_\nu$. It then follows immediately from (2.14) that the Riemann curvature tensor constructed from the spin connection

$$R^A{}_{B\mu\nu} = (\partial_\nu \Omega_\mu - \partial_\mu \Omega_\nu + [\Omega_\nu, \Omega_\mu])^A{}_B, \quad (2.18)$$

is related to (2.14) by

$$R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = E^\rho{}_A R^A{}_{B\mu\nu} E^B{}_\sigma. \quad (2.19)$$

²Here $\eta = \text{diag}(+1, -1, \dots)$ is the tangent space (flat space) metric tensor.

This notation provides a gauge theory/gravity theory dictionary, with which we can translate the gauge theoretic Chern-Simons term (2.1) into the gravitational one in terms of the Christoffel connection. Using (2.10) in (2.1) leads to

$$\text{CS}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\rho \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\lambda\rho}^\sigma + \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\rho \Gamma_{\nu\tau}^\sigma \Gamma_{\lambda\rho}^\tau \right). \quad (2.20)$$

Moreover, (2.5), (2.6), (2.10), and (2.17) provide an alternative formula for $\text{CS}(\Gamma)$ in terms of the spin connection

$$\text{CS}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Omega_\mu \partial_\nu \Omega_\lambda + \frac{1}{3} \Omega_\mu \Omega_\nu \Omega_\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{tr} (V_\mu V_\nu V_\lambda). \quad (2.21)$$

The matrix $(V_\mu)^\sigma_\rho$ is seen from (2.17) to be $E^\sigma_A \partial_\mu E^A_\rho$; thus the last term is the winding number $W(E)$ of the *Dreibein* E^A_μ .

According to (2.7), the variation of $W(E)$ is a surface term, therefore variations of $\text{CS}(\Gamma)$ coincide with those of $\text{CS}(\Omega)$ – the next-to-last contribution to (2.21) – and $W(E)$ does not contribute to the equations of motion. However, invariance against local rotations – the gauge group of the spin connection – is assured only when $W(E)$ is kept: the non-invariance of $\text{CS}(\Omega)$ [c.f. (2.6)] is compensated by the non-invariance of $W(E)$. This is as it must be, because the two together reproduce $\text{CS}(\Gamma)$, which does not respond to local rotations. Thus, regardless of the homotopy properties of local rotations, there are no quantization requirements on the gravitational Chern-Simons coefficient.

Correspondingly, diffeomorphisms preserve the world scalar $\text{CS}(\Omega)$. One can check that the non invariance of $\text{CS}(\Gamma)$ in (2.21) is carried by the last term $W(E)$ which changes as in (2.6), with U given by (2.11)³.

We note that in 3 dimensions the antisymmetric quantity $\Omega_{\mu,AB} = \eta_{AC}(\Omega_\mu)^C_B$ may be written as $\epsilon_{ABC}\Omega_\mu^C$. Consequently a simpler expression for $\text{CS}(\Omega)$ is available

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CS}(\Omega) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left(\eta_{AB} \Omega_\mu^A \partial_\nu \Omega_\lambda^B - \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{ABC} \Omega_\mu^A \Omega_\nu^B \Omega_\lambda^C \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \eta_{AB} \Omega_\mu^A \partial_\nu \Omega_\lambda^B + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d^3x \det \Omega_\mu^A. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

The variation of the gravitational Chern-Simons term determines the Cotton tensor. The derivation is facilitated by our gauge theoretical dictionary. From (2.4), (2.10), and

³This analysis of the invariance properties of the gravitational Chern-Simons term was first performed by R.Percacci [5], who also argued that diffeomorphisms (orientation preserving, and tending to the identity at infinity) do not possess a non-vanishing winding number. Therefore no quantization of the Chern-Simons coefficient is needed in the metric formulation, consistent with the conclusion found in the spin connection formulation.

(2.14) we have

$$\delta\text{CS}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} R^\rho_{\sigma\lambda\nu} \delta\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\rho} . \quad (2.23)$$

Next we use the 3-dimensional formula for the Riemann tensor in terms of the Ricci tensors and scalar curvature

$$R^\rho_{\sigma\lambda\nu} = \delta^\rho_\lambda (R_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\sigma\nu} R) - \delta^\rho_\nu (R_{\sigma\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\sigma\lambda} R) + G_{\sigma\nu} R^\rho_\lambda - G_{\sigma\lambda} R^\rho_\nu , \quad (2.24)$$

and the expression for the variation of the Christoffel connection

$$\delta\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} G^{\sigma\tau} (D_\mu \delta G_{\tau\rho} + D_\rho \delta G_{\tau\mu} - D_\tau \delta G_{\mu\rho}) , \quad (2.25)$$

to write (2.23), after a partial integration, as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\text{CS}(\Gamma) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} (D_\mu R^\tau_\nu) \delta G_{\sigma\tau} \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^3x \sqrt{G} C^{\sigma\tau} \delta G_{\sigma\tau} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where $G = \det G_{\mu\nu}$, and the Cotton tensor $C^{\sigma\tau}$ is

$$C^{\sigma\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} D_\mu R^\tau_\nu + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\tau} D_\mu R^\sigma_\nu) . \quad (2.27)$$

This is traceless, indicating that $\text{CS}(\Gamma)$ is conformally invariant.

3 Dimensional Reduction

To effect the dimensional reduction from 3 (t, x, y) to 2 (t, x) dimensions, we choose the Lorentz signature and set a Kaluza-Klein *Ansatz* for the metric tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ [6]

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \phi \begin{pmatrix} g_{\alpha\beta} - a_\alpha a_\beta & -a_\alpha \\ -a_\beta & -1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.28)$$

Here $(\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2)$, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor for our 2-space, a_α is a 2-vector, $(\alpha, \beta = 0, 1)$, and ϕ is a scalar. All quantities depend on the first two coordinates (t, x) , and are independent of the third coordinate y . When a general y -independent coordinate transformation, $\delta x^\mu = -\xi^\mu(t, x)$, is performed on $G_{\mu\nu}$

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \xi^\rho \partial_\rho G_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \xi^\rho G_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\nu \xi^\rho G_{\mu\rho} , \quad (3.29)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\delta G_{22} &= \delta\phi = \xi^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi , \\
-\delta(G_{\alpha 2}/\phi) &= \delta a_\alpha = \xi^\beta \partial_\beta a_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \xi^\beta a_\beta + \partial_\alpha \xi^2 , \\
\delta([G_{\alpha\beta} + a_\alpha a_\beta]/\phi) &= \delta g_{\alpha\beta} = \xi^\gamma \partial_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\alpha \xi^\gamma g_{\gamma\beta} + \partial_\beta \xi^\gamma g_{\alpha\gamma} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

This shows that the reduced quantities transform properly under 2-dimensional diffeomorphisms, and also that a_α undergoes an Abelian gauge transformation with gauge function ξ^2 . Consequently the reduced action will be a gauge and coordinate invariant. Moreover, because the Chern-Simons term is conformally invariant, it will not depend on ϕ , which we henceforth set to 1. With (3.28) and $\phi = 1$, the components of the Christoffel connection are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha &= \gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha - g^{\alpha\delta}(a_\beta f_{\gamma\delta} + a_\gamma f_{\beta\delta}) , \\
\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(D_\beta a_\gamma + D_\gamma a_\beta) + \frac{1}{2}a^\delta(a_\beta f_{\gamma\delta} + a_\gamma f_{\beta\delta}) , \\
\Gamma_{2\beta}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\gamma}f_{\gamma\beta} , \quad \Gamma_{2\gamma}^2 = \frac{1}{2}f_{\gamma\delta}a^\delta , \quad \Gamma_{22}^\alpha = \Gamma_{22}^2 = 0 .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

The inverse of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is $g^{\alpha\beta}$, and all indices are moved with this 2-dimensional metric tensor. The Abelian field strength $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is constructed from a_α : $f_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha a_\beta - \partial_\beta a_\alpha$. D is the covariant derivative based on the connection $\gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$.

For the *Dreibein* $E^A{}_\mu$ and spin connection $\Omega^A{}_\mu$ we have

$$E^a{}_\alpha = e^a{}_\alpha , \quad E^2{}_\alpha = a_\alpha , \quad E^a{}_2 = 0 , \quad E^2{}_2 = 1 , \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$\Omega^a{}_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}e^a{}_\alpha f , \quad \Omega^2{}_\alpha = -\omega_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}f a_\alpha , \quad \Omega^a{}_2 = 0 , \quad \Omega^2{}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}f . \tag{3.33}$$

Here $e^a{}_\alpha$ is the *Zweibein*, the 2-dimensional spin connection $(\omega_\alpha)_b^a$ is written in terms of 2-dimensional antisymmetric symbol as $\omega_{\alpha,ab} = \epsilon_{ab} \omega_\alpha$, while f is the invariant field strength

$$f_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} f , \tag{3.34}$$

$g = \det g_{\alpha\beta}$, $\epsilon^{01} = 1$. With these, we find for the gravitational Chern-Simons term (apart from surface contributions)

$$\text{CS} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} (f r + f^3) , \tag{3.35}$$

where r is the 2-dimensional scalar curvature. Note that the topological origin of this expression is recognized when we express $\sqrt{-g}f d^2x$ as the 2-form da , with $a = a_\alpha dx^\alpha$. Thus we also have

$$\text{CS} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int da(r + f^2) . \quad (3.36)$$

Another intriguing rewriting presents (3.36) as

$$\text{CS} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2x \, \Theta \, \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} , \quad (3.37)$$

where $\Theta = \frac{1}{4\pi}(r + f^2)$. This puts into evidence an axion (Θ) - Chern-Pontryagin ($\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta}$ in 2 dimensions) structure. Finally, we remark that the 3-dimensional scalar curvature R with *Ansatz* (3.28) (and $\phi = 1$) reduces to [6]

$$R = r + \frac{1}{2}f^2 . \quad (3.38)$$

4 Variations and Equations of Motion

Variation of (3.36) produces

$$\delta\text{CS} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} (-j^\alpha \delta a_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha\beta} \delta g^{\alpha\beta}) , \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$j^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta (r + 3f^2) , \quad (4.40)$$

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} (D^2 f - f^3 - \frac{1}{2} r f) - D_\alpha D_\beta f . \quad (4.41)$$

Note that identically $D_\alpha j^\alpha = 0$, as consequence of gauge invariance. For the second rank tensor we have

$$D^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} = -\partial_\alpha (f^3 + \frac{1}{2} r f) + [D_\alpha, D_\beta] D^\beta f . \quad (4.42)$$

The last term is $\frac{1}{2} r \partial_\alpha f$, so (4.42) becomes

$$D^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} f \partial_\alpha (r + 3f^2) = j^\beta f_{\beta\alpha} , \quad (4.43)$$

which vanishes with j^β , as it should as consequence of 2-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance.

The components of the dimensionally reduced Cotton tensor are

$$C^{\alpha\beta} = T^{\alpha\beta} , \quad C^{\alpha 2} = -j^\alpha - T^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta , \quad C^{22} = g_{\alpha\beta} T^{\alpha\beta} + a_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta + 2j^\alpha a_\alpha . \quad (4.44)$$

If the entire dynamics is governed by the Chern-Simons term, the equations of motion become

$$0 = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta (r + 3f^2) , \quad (4.45)$$

$$0 = g_{\alpha\beta} (D^2 f - f^3 - \frac{1}{2} r f) - D_\alpha D_\beta f . \quad (4.46)$$

The first is solved by

$$r + 3f^2 = \text{constant} = c . \quad (4.47)$$

Eliminating r in (4.46), and decomposing into the trace and trace-free parts lead to

$$0 = D^2 f - c f + f^3 , \quad (4.48)$$

$$0 = D_\alpha D_\beta f - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} D^2 f . \quad (4.49)$$

Note that the equations are invariant against changing the sign of f (the action changes sign). A solution that respects this “symmetry” is

$$f = 0 , \quad r = c . \quad (4.50)$$

However, there is also a “symmetry breaking” solution

$$f = \pm\sqrt{c} , \quad r = -2c , \quad c > 0 . \quad (4.51)$$

The 3-dimensional curvature associated with the above two solutions is, according to (3.38), $R = c$ for the symmetry preserving case (4.50), and $R = -\frac{3}{2}c$ ($c > 0$) for the symmetry breaking one. Although both show constant curvature, the latter is maximally symmetric in the 3-dimensional geometrical sense, i.e. one verifies that the 3-dimensional Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ is given by $\frac{1}{3}g_{\mu\nu}R$, with six Killing vectors that span $SO(2, 1) \times SO(2, 1) = SO(2, 2)$, the isometry of 3-dimensional anti-de Sitter space. The former is not maximally symmetric, and it admits only four Killing vectors that span $SO(2, 1) \times SO(2)$.

When $c > 0$, so that the symmetry breaking solution (4.51) is present, there also is a kink solution. The profile for f

$$f = \sqrt{c} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} x , \quad (4.52)$$

interpolates between the two homogeneous solutions in (4.51), and with (4.47) gives rise to the 2-dimensional curvature

$$r = -2c + 3c \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} x} . \quad (4.53)$$

The relevant line element is

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{1}{\cosh^4 \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} x} (dt)^2 - (dx)^2 . \quad (4.54)$$

One may directly verify that (4.47)–(4.49) are satisfied by (4.52)–(4.54). In Appendix A we give a constructive derivation of the various expressions.

Note that for small values of $\sqrt{c}|x|$ (< 1.3) the curvature becomes positive, while for larger values it is negative, achieving anti-de Sitter space at spatial infinity. Similar behavior is seen in the 3-dimensional curvature, which according to (3.38) is

$$R = -\frac{3c}{2} + \frac{5c}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} x} . \quad (4.55)$$

The corresponding 3-dimensional line element reads

$$(dS)^2 = -(dx)^2 - 2 \frac{dt dy}{\cosh^2 \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} x} - (dy)^2 . \quad (4.56)$$

Apart from t - and y - translations, the metric possesses no other symmetries.

From (4.48) we see that one may view f as moving in a potential

$$V(f) = \frac{1}{4}(c - f^2)^2 . \quad (4.57)$$

In flat space this potential also supports a kink with profile

$$k(x) = \sqrt{c} \tanh \sqrt{\frac{c}{2}} x . \quad (4.58)$$

Apart from a different scale on x , this coincides with our general relativistic kink (4.52). Note also that the 2-dimensional line element in (4.54) may be presented in terms of V , up to a rescaling of t

$$(ds)^2 = V(f) (dt)^2 - (dx)^2 . \quad (4.59)$$

In Appendix B we show that these relations between general relativistic and flat space kinks are not accidental, and can be understood in general terms.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that dimensionally reducing the 3-dimensional gravitational Chern-Simons term produces a 2-dimensional topological theory. By itself it leads to symmetry breaking and kink solutions, which structurally are very similar to certain flat space kinks. Further investigation should address the stability of time-dependent fluctuations around our static solutions.

It is interesting that the kink causes the space to possess asymptotic anti-de Sitter geometry, while carrying positive curvature at small distances and vanishing at an intermediate point. In this way the effect of the kink is analogous to a geometric gravitational force, which has been previously explored [7]: in 2-dimensional space-time one couples a connection 1-form A to the world line of a particle. A is not an external variable, but is implicitly constructed from gravitational variables through the formula

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} \Lambda , \quad (5.60)$$

where Λ is a constant. The covariantly constant 2-form, dA , causes the cosmological constant to shift by 2Λ across the world line (which divides 2-dimensional space-time). This mechanism also exists in higher dimensions. For example in 4-dimensional space-time one couples a 3-form potential to a membrane, and the “field” is a covariantly constant 4-form, affecting the cosmological constant in a similar fashion [8].

Our 2-dimensional Lagrangian (3.35) is formally similar to dilaton Lagrangians. Indeed, when our f is identified with the dilaton field, (3.35) becomes the $a = 0$, $b = 3$ special case in the class of dilaton models discussed in [2], eq. (3.67). However, the crucial difference is that f is not a fundamental field, but a 2-dimensional curl of a vector potential. In terms of the latter, the equations of motion are of third derivative order, as is the Cotton tensor (2.27). Evidently our construction gives a suggestion for generalizing dilaton theories [2]: replace the dilaton scalar by the curl of a vector.

Dimensional reduction of higher dimensional Chern-Simons terms should also be interesting. A 5-dimensional Chern-Simons term reduced to 4 dimensions should result in an action of the form

$$\int d^4x \, \Theta(R, F, \phi) {}^*F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} , \quad (5.61)$$

where the Chern-Pontryagin “anomaly” density ${}^*F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ is multiplied by the “axion” field Θ , here depending on the geometry R , the gauge field F , and a dilaton ϕ [c.f. (3.37)]. If Θ has strong variation in only one direction of space-time, the effect of (5.61) would be similar to the Chern-Simons modification of Maxwell theory, which produces

a Lorentz violating Faraday rotation [9] (not seen experimentally [10]). Reducing from higher dimensions could produce non-Abelian gauge fields. Whether generalized kinks (solitons) exist in these models remains an interesting but open question.

Acknowledgments

G.G. acknowledges hospitality at the Center for Theoretical Physics - MIT, and A.I. thanks Jan Troost for enjoyable discussions on 3-dimensional gravity. This work is supported in part by funds provided by the US Department of Energy (DOE) under cooperative research agreements DF-FC02-94ER40818, DE-FG02-91ER40688-TaskD, and DE-FG02-91ER40676.

Appendix A: Construction of the General Relativistic Kink

We derive the kink profile (4.52), together with (4.53) and (4.54). The validity of these expressions can be established by directly verifying that (4.47)-(4.49) are satisfied⁴. But it is interesting to give a construction.

We begin by using light-cone components $x^\pm$, and adopting the 2-dimensional conformal gauge: $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^\sigma \eta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\eta_{+-} = 1$, $\eta_{++} = 0 = \eta_{--}$. First, we work on the consequences of (4.49), which now reads

$$\partial_\alpha(e^{-\sigma}\partial_\beta f) + \partial_\beta(e^{-\sigma}\partial_\alpha f) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\gamma\delta}\partial_\gamma(e^{-\sigma}\partial_\delta f) . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This is recognized as the (flat, 2-dimensional) conformal Killing equation for the quantity $e^{-\sigma}\partial_\alpha f$. It is solved by the statement that $e^{-\sigma}\partial_\pm f$ depends only on $x^\mp$

$$e^{-\sigma}\partial_\pm f = a_\mp(x^\mp) . \quad (\text{A.2})$$

It further follows that

$$a_+(x^+)\partial_+ f = e^\sigma a_+(x^+)a_-(x^-) = a_-(x^-)\partial_- f . \quad (\text{A.3})$$

When we introduce new variables $X^\pm$ through the relation

$$X^\pm = \int^{x^\pm} \frac{du}{a_\pm(u)} , \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Eq.(A.3) reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^+} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^-} f = e^\sigma a_+ a_- , \quad (\text{A.5})$$

or, going to the Cartesian basis⁵

$$X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X \pm T) , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Eq.(A.5) states that f is T -independent, and depends only on X . From (A.5) it also follows that

$$e^\sigma a_+ a_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{df}{dX} . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

⁴One would compute r and the covariant derivative from the line element (4.54).

⁵In spite of the notation, we are not assuming that T is a time-like coordinate and X space-like. The identification will emerge presently.

Consequently, the line element may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(ds)^2 &= e^\sigma 2dx^+ dx^- = e^\sigma \frac{dx^+}{dX^+} \frac{dx^-}{dX^-} 2dX^+ dX^- \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{df}{dX} \left[(dX)^2 - (dT)^2 \right].\end{aligned}\tag{A.8}$$

Next we turn to (4.47) and (4.48). In our initial conformal light-cone coordinates these read

$$2e^{-\sigma} \partial_+ \partial_- \sigma = c - 3f^2, \tag{A.9}$$

$$2e^{-\sigma} \partial_+ \partial_- f = cf - f^3. \tag{A.10}$$

With (A.4), one converts them to

$$2 \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{a_+ a_-} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^-} \ln \frac{\partial f}{\partial X} = c - 3f^2, \tag{A.11}$$

$$2 \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{a_+ a_-} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^-} f = cf - f^3. \tag{A.12}$$

In (A.9), (A.7) was used to reexpress $\frac{\partial}{\partial X^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^-} \sigma$. We thus arrive at

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{df/dX} \frac{d^2}{dX^2} \ln \frac{df}{dX} = c - 3f^2, \tag{A.13}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{df/dX} \frac{d^2}{dX^2} f = cf - f^3. \tag{A.14}$$

Observe that (A.13) is a consequence of (A.14) (differentiate the latter and divide by df/dX to obtain the former). So we need not consider (A.13) any further and concentrate on (A.14), which reads

$$\sqrt{2} \frac{d^2}{dX^2} f = (cf - f^3) \frac{df}{dX}. \tag{A.15}$$

The first integral is immediate

$$\sqrt{2} \frac{df}{dX} = -\frac{1}{4}(c - f^2)^2, \tag{A.16}$$

where the integration constant is chosen so that, as $|X| \rightarrow \infty$ and $f^2 \rightarrow c$, df/dX vanishes. The next integral gives

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c^{3/2} X = \frac{2\sqrt{c}f}{c - f^2} + \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{c} + f}{\sqrt{c} - f} \right|. \tag{A.17}$$

To proceed, we change variables from X to z , through the formula

$$f(X) = \sqrt{c} \tanh mz , \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where m is an arbitrary parameter. From (A.17), this implies that

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^{3/2}X = 2mz + \sinh 2mz . \quad (\text{A.19})$$

We rewrite the line element (A.8) in terms of the new coordinate

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sqrt{c}m}{\cosh^2 mz} \frac{dz}{dX} \left[\left(\frac{dX}{dz} \right)^2 (dz)^2 - (dT)^2 \right] . \quad (\text{A.20})$$

The remaining derivative is evaluated from (A.19)

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^{3/2}\frac{dX}{dz} = 4m \cosh^2 mz , \quad (\text{A.21})$$

and the line element (A.20) becomes

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{c^2}{8} \frac{1}{\cosh^4 mz} (dT)^2 - \frac{4m^2}{c} (dz)^2 . \quad (\text{A.22})$$

A final rescaling

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{8}}{c} \bar{t} , \quad z = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2m} \bar{x} , \quad (\text{A.23})$$

arrives at the line element (4.54), and the kink profile (A.18) agrees with (4.52).

Note that the disposition of signs in the line element shows that T is a time-like variable, and X space-like: our kink is static, a derived result which was not assumed *a priori*.

Appendix B: Flat vs General Relativistic Kinks

We derive a general relation between flat space and general relativistic kinks. A specific instance of this relation is provided by the model discussed in the text.

With a specific potential V , see (4.57)-(4.59), the flat space kink, k , depending on a single spatial variable, satisfies the equation

$$k'' = V'(k) , \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with first integral

$$k' = \sqrt{2V(k)} , \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where prime indicates differentiation with respect to the argument.

We shall consider general relativistic kinks obeying

$$D^2 f = -V'(f) , \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$D_\alpha D_\beta f = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} D^2 f . \quad (\text{B.4})$$

As explained in Appendix A, Eq.(B.4) implies that f depends only on X ; this allows rewriting the left side of (B.3) so that f satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{df/dX} \frac{d^2}{dX^2} f = -V'(f) , \quad (\text{B.5})$$

and leads to the line element

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{df}{dX} \left[(dX)^2 - (dT)^2 \right] , \quad (\text{B.6})$$

[compare (A.8) and (A.14)].

Equivalent to (B.5) is

$$\sqrt{2} \frac{d^2}{dX^2} f = -V'(f) \frac{df}{dX} , \quad (\text{B.7})$$

with immediate first integral

$$\frac{df}{dX} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V(f) . \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The second integral gives

$$\sqrt{2} \int^{f(X)} \frac{du}{V(u)} = -X . \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Next, we change variables from X to z , using the flat space kink k of (B.1), and (B.2) arising from the same potential V [c.f. (A.18)]

$$f(X) = k(z) , \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\sqrt{2} \int^{k(z)} \frac{du}{V(u)} = -X . \quad (\text{B.11})$$

The line element (B.6) is now rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (ds)^2 &= \frac{1}{2}V(f) (dT)^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{dk(z)}{dz} \frac{dz}{dX} \left[\left(\frac{dX}{dz} \right)^2 (dz)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}V(f) (dT)^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{dk(z)}{dz} \frac{dX}{dz} (dz)^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The derivative of X is evaluated from (B.11)

$$\frac{dX}{dz} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{V(k)} \frac{dk(z)}{dz} , \quad (\text{B.13})$$

giving for the line element

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{1}{2}V(k) (dT)^2 - \frac{1}{V(k)} \left(\frac{dk(z)}{dz} \right)^2 (dz)^2 . \quad (\text{B.14})$$

But, from (B.2) this is

$$(ds)^2 = \frac{1}{2}V(k) (dT)^2 - 2 (dz)^2 . \quad (\text{B.15})$$

A final rescaling $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{x}$, $T = \sqrt{2}\bar{t}$, gives agreement with (4.59), and shows from (B.10) that general relativistic kink coincides with flat-space kink, apart from rescaling of the argument

$$f = k \left(X/\sqrt{2} \right) . \quad (\text{B.16})$$

The 2-curvature associated with the geometry (4.59) is

$$r = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{V^2} \left(\frac{dV}{dX} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{V} \frac{d^2V}{dX^2} . \quad (\text{B.17})$$

The derivatives are evaluated by recalling that V depends on the rescaled kink profile (B.16)

$$\frac{dV}{dX} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} V' k' = V' \sqrt{V} , \quad (\text{B.18})$$

$$\frac{d^2V}{dX^2} = \frac{k'}{\sqrt{2}} \left(V'' \sqrt{V} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(V')^2}{\sqrt{V}} \right) = V'' V + \frac{1}{2} (V')^2 . \quad (\text{B.19})$$

In the last equality we used (B.2). Thus

$$r = -V'' . \quad (\text{B.20})$$

All these relations are realized with the specific example considered in the text, where $V = \frac{1}{4}(c - f^2)^2$, compare (4.47), (4.52), (4.54), (4.58).

References

- [1] R.Jackiw, C.Teitelboim, in *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, S.Christensen Ed. (Adam Hilger, Bristol, UK, 1984).
- [2] D.Grümiller, W.Kummer, and D.Vassilevich, Phys. Rept. **369**, 327 (2002).
- [3] S.Deser, R.Jackiw and S.Templeton, Ann. Phys. (NY) **140**, 372 (1982); (E) **185**, 406 (1988); Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982); (C) **59**, 1981 (1987).
- [4] R.Jackiw, S.-Y.Pi, Phys. Lett. **B 423**, 364 (1998); Phys. Rev. D **61**, 105015 (2000).
- [5] R.Percacci, Ann. Phys. (NY) **177**, 27 (1987).
- [6] P.Bergmann, *Introduction to the Theory of Relativity*, (Prentice Hall, New York, NY, 1947) Chapter XVII.
- [7] D.Cangemi and R.Jackiw, Phys. Lett. **B 299**, 24 (1993).
- [8] A.Aurilia, H.Nicolai and P.Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B 176**, 509 (1980).
- [9] S.Carroll, G.Field and R.Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1231 (1990).
- [10] S.Carroll and G.Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2394 (1997).